

Fracture Healing Overview

Authors

Jonathon R. Sheen¹; Ahmed Mabrouk²; Vishnu V. Garla³.

Affiliations

¹ Torbay Hospital

² National Health Service (NHS), United Kingdom

³ University of Mississippi Medical Center

Last Update: April 8, 2023.

Definition/Introduction

Bone fracture healing: is an intricate and fluent regenerative process that aims at restoring the damaged bone to its pre-injury state and cellular composition.[1] A fracture is a breach in the structural continuity of the bone cortex, with a degree of injury to the surrounding soft tissues. Following the fracture, secondary healing begins, which consists of four steps:

1. Hematoma formation
2. Granulation tissue formation
3. Bony callus formation
4. Bone remodeling

The type of fracture healing is governed by the achieved mechanical stability at the fracture site and, consequently, the strain. An appropriate mechanical stimulation, such as strain, facilitates tissue formation at the bony ends. The amount of the involved strain dictates the biological behavior of the cells involved in the healing process and, consequently, the type of bone healing.[2][3][4] Primary bone healing ensues with mechanical strain below 2%, whereas secondary bone healing ensues when the mechanical strain is between 2 and 10%.[5][6][7][8] In contrast, a strain >10% results in non-union or delayed union.[9][10][6][5]

There are two main modes of bone healing; **primary bone healing** is dictated by absolute stability constructs that achieve a mechanical strain below 2%. It is an **intramembranous** bone healing that occurs through **Haversian remodeling**. The other type is **secondary bone healing** which occurs in non-rigid fixation modalities such as braces, external fixation, plates in bridging mode, intramedullary nailing, ..etc. These fixation modalities achieve a mechanical strain between 2-10%. And it occurs via **endochondral** bone healing. Bone healing can involve a combination of primary and secondary processes based on the stability throughout the construct.

Failed or delayed healing can affect up to 10% of all fractures and can result from factors such as comminution, infection, tumor, and disrupted vascular supply. During this article, we will work through each of these steps in order and detail before touching on primary healing, factors affecting fracture healing, and methods of stimulation of fracture healing.[11][1]

Issues of Concern

Fracture healing starts with **an anabolic phase** where there is recruitment and differentiation of stem cells with

subsequent increases in the skeletal and vascular tissue volume. A cartilaginous callus forms at the fracture site, whereas at the periphery of this callus, the periosteum swells, and the primary bone formation starts.

[12] Simultaneously with cartilaginous callus formation, the cells involved in angiogenesis are recruited and differentiated in the nearby muscle mass. With further progression of chondrocyte differentiation, the extracellular matrix is mineralized, and the chondrocytes undergo apoptosis. This is followed by a **catabolic phase** where cartilage resorption ensues, resulting in tissue and callus volume reduction.[1]

Fracture healing is complex, and it involves the following stages: **hematoma formation, granulation tissue formation, callus formation, and bone remodeling**. However, there is considerable overlap between these stages. Principle cells and their secretions are involved in the healing process, in which the mesenchymal stem cells play a pivotal role. They are delivered mainly by two major sources; periosteum and endosteum. Others involved include inflammatory cells, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, osteoblasts, and osteoclasts.[1][13]

Hematoma Formation: (Immediately after the fracture)

This forms the key step in fracture healing. The blood vessels supplying the bone and periosteum are disrupted during the fracture, causing a hematoma to form at the fracture site, which is rich in hematopoietic cells. The hematoma clots and forms the temporary frame for subsequent healing. An adequate number of MSCs is recruited at the fracture site from the nearby tissues and the circulation.[14][15] MSCs express matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs), both influence MSCs' migration capacity.[16][17][18]

Macrophages, neutrophils, and platelets release pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), platelet-derived growth factors (PDGF), transforming growth factor beta (TGF-Beta), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and interleukins (IL-1, IL-6, IL-10, IL-11, IL 12, IL-23). These cytokines further stimulate essential cellular biology at the fracture site.

Granulation Tissue Formation (Primary or fibrocartilaginous callus): (Within two weeks) This provides provisional stability.

Platelets are recruited to the fracture site. Among the products secreted by platelets are fibronectin (FN), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β), which collectively trigger an inflammatory response. Subsequently, other mesenchymal cells and inflammatory cells are recruited to the fracture site, such as fibroblasts and endothelial cells, with resultant **fibrin-rich granulation tissue** formation and angiogenesis. [19] The granulation tissue withstands the greatest strain prior to failure during the healing process.

Mesenchymal stem cells begin to differentiate (driven by BMPs). As a result, chondrogenesis begins to occur, laying down a **collagen-rich fibrocartilaginous** network spanning the fracture ends, with a surrounding hyaline cartilage sleeve. At the same time, adjacent to the periosteal layers, the osteoprogenitor cells lay down a layer of woven bone.

The release of cytokines such as VEGF and TGF B induces angiogenesis at the fracture site.[20] Angiogenesis is critical for the morphological structure of the bone-bridging tissue and the whole fracture healing process. Delayed union or non-union could be a consequence of deficient angiogenesis.[21][22]

Bony Callus Formation (If bone ends are not in contact, then a soft bridging callus forms):

The endosteum and periosteum serve as primary sources for the Fibroblasts involved in fracture healing.[23] The fibroblasts play a pivotal role by secreting the matrix constituents such as collagen, elastic and mesh fibers, and glycoproteins.

Fibroblasts differentiate into osteoblasts guided by various bone morphogenic proteins (BMPs) and fibroblast growth factors (FGFs) released by the body at the fracture site.[24][25] With resultant increased levels of alkaline phosphatase (ALP), total calcium content, and osteogenic marker genes encoding for integrin-binding sialoprotein (IBSP), runt-

related transcription factor 2 (Runx2), and osteoblast-associated transcription factors.[26][27]

The cartilaginous (soft) callus begins to undergo endochondral ossification, and a medullary callus further supports the bridging soft callus. RANK-L is expressed, stimulating further differentiation of chondroblasts, chondroclasts, osteoblasts, and osteoclasts. As a result, the cartilaginous callus is resorbed and begins to calcify. Subperiosteally, woven bone continues to be laid down. The newly formed blood vessels continue to proliferate, allowing further migration of mesenchymal stem cells. At the end of this phase, a hard, calcified callus of immature bone forms. Bone callus formation is dependent upon appropriate relative motion between fracture fragments.[28][29]

Bone Remodelling (Continues for months to years after clinical union)

This involves a complex interaction of signaling pathways, including BMP, fibroblast growth factor (FGF), parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP), and Indian hedgehog (Ihh). All of which are involved somehow in the differentiation of the appendicular skeleton.

The hypertrophic chondrocytes express type X collagen while the extraarticular matrix is being calcified, then degraded by proteases. Cartilaginous calcification occurs at the junction of the maturing chondrocytes and newly forming bone. Then, the chondrocytes undergo apoptosis, and new vessels form with further VEGF release.

Osteoclasts have the capacity for bone matrix resorption, while osteoclasts' differentiation and activity are coordinated by osteoblasts.[30][31] Osteoblasts express the receptor activator of nuclear factor- κ B ligand (RANKL), which interacts with the receptor activator of nuclear factor- κ B (RANK) expressed by osteoclasts. This interaction results in osteoclast differentiation and activation.[32][33] Additionally, osteoblasts produce osteoprotegerin (OPG), which is a decoy receptor for RANKL. OPG can occupy the binding site of RANK, thereby inhibiting the activation of osteoclast precursor cells.[33]

With the continued migration of osteoblasts and osteoclasts, the hard callus undergoes repeated remodeling - termed 'coupled remodeling.' This 'coupled remodeling' is a balance of resorption by osteoclasts and new bone formation by osteoblasts. The center of the callus is ultimately replaced by compact bone, while the callus edges become replaced by lamellar bone. Substantial remodeling of the vasculature occurs alongside these changes. The process of bone remodeling lasts for many months, ultimately resulting in the regeneration of the normal bone structure.[34][35][15][36]

The newly formed bone (woven bone) is remodeled via organized osteoblastic-osteoclastic activity and further shaped in response to mechanical stress (Wolff's law) and electric charges (piezoelectric charges); compression side is electronegative and stimulates bone formation, and the tension side is electropositive and stimulates osteoclasts.

An important point to expand on is endochondral ossification, which is the name given for the process of conversion of cartilage to bone. As described above, this occurs during forming a bony callus, in which the newly formed collagen-rich cartilaginous callus gets replaced by immature bone.

This process is also the key to forming long bones in the fetus, in which the bony skeleton replaces the hyaline cartilage model. The second type of ossification also occurs in the fetus; this is intramembranous ossification; this is the process by which mesenchymal tissue (primitive connective tissue) is converted directly to the bone, which no cartilage intermediate. This process takes place in the flat bones of the skull.[37]

Clinical Significance

Aspiration for ideal fracture healing necessitates a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of all the factors that directly or indirectly influence the healing process. The main pillars of fracture healing are a good biological environment with adequate blood supply and a good mechanical environment with adequate stability.

The AO has set four principles for ideal fracture healing. This includes fracture reduction to restore the anatomy, fracture fixation to achieve absolute or relative stability, preservation of the blood supply to the bone and surrounding soft tissues, and early and safe mobilization.

The list of factors that affect fracture healing is exhaustive; however, it can broadly be categorized into local and systemic categories.[38]

Local Factors

- **The blood supply and the biological environment** are the most important local factors affecting the fracture healing process. Immediately after the fracture, the blood vessels in the surrounding area get disrupted with resultant low blood. This improves over the next few hours to days after the fracture and reaches its highest at two weeks, then declines back to normal between 3 to 5 months. Reduced blood supply to the fracture site can lead to delayed union or non-union. Bone blood supply should also be considered in the operative treatment of fractures and the used prosthesis. For example, reaming for intramedullary nailing would compromise 50% to 80% of the endosteal circulation. Also, canal tight-fitting nails compromise the endosteal blood supply compared to looser-fitting nails, which allow better endosteal reperfusion.
- **Fracture Characteristics and the mechanical environment:** Excessive movement and malalignment. Extensive soft tissue damage and soft tissues caught within the fracture site can lead to delayed union or non-union, as well as the amount of bone comminution and loss. Additionally, specific fracture patterns have more probabilities of developing non-union or delayed union, such as segmental fractures or fractures with butterfly fragments.
- **Infection:** can significantly compromise the healing process with a consequent non-union or delayed unions.

Systemic Factors

- **Advanced age:** elderly have a lower capacity for fracture healing when compared to their younger population. Aging influences the inflammatory response during fracture healing. With aging, there is a weakness in the immune response and increased systemic pro-inflammatory status.[39]
- **Obesity:** In animal studies, lower levels of FGF and TGF- β , and higher levels of TNF- α , were reported more in obese mice and contributed to delayed fracture healing.[40]
- **Anemia**
- **Endocrine conditions:** diabetes mellitus affects the fracture healing process in multiple aspects; fracture callus would have low cellular content with resultant weak callus. Endochondral ossification is delayed, and fracture healing is generally prolonged compared to the general population. Menopause and parathyroid problems also compromise the fracture-healing process.
- **Steroid administration.**[41]
- **Malnutrition:** a high proportion of the patients developing delayed union or non-union were reported to have metabolic compromise, especially of vitamin D. Calcium deficiency is another compromising factor of the bone union. Calcium deficiency can be secondary to gastrointestinal malabsorption or endocrinal problems such as secondary hyperparathyroidism.[42]
- **Smoking:** nicotine inhibits angiogenesis and forms weak calluses with an overall delay in the fracture healing process.

- **Medications:** Certain medications can directly or indirectly affect the fracture healing process. NSAIDs can result in delayed union due to COX enzyme inhibition. For example, systemic corticosteroids have been reported to increase the non-union rate of intertrochanteric femur fracture. Conversely, long-term use of bisphosphonates has been associated with osteoporotic fractures such as subtrochanteric femoral insufficiency fractures. Quinolones have been reported to be toxic to chondrocytes with the consequent compromise of the fracture healing process.[43][44]

Fractures have significant mortality and morbidity; an interprofessional approach is essential for good outcomes.[45][46][47] There are multiple methods that the interprofessional team can utilize to promote/stimulate fracture healing, including:

- **Dietary supplements** - calcium, protein, vitamins C and D.
- **Bone stimulators** - which can be electrical, electromagnetic, and ultrasound. The current effectiveness of these methods is still equivocal, and this area requires further research. There are **four principal modes** of electrical stimulation; the **direct current** reduces osteoclast activity and increases osteoblast activity by creating an alkaline tissue environment and reducing oxygen concentration. In contrast, the **alternating current (AC)** affects collagen synthesis and cartilage calcification. The other two types are magnetic, either **pulsed electromagnetic fields** that result in the calcification of fibrocartilage or **combined magnetic fields** that increase the concentrations of transforming growth factor beta and bone morphogenic proteins.[48] Ultrasound such as (LIPUS) low-intensity pulsed ultrasound has been reported to augment fracture healing and increase the strength of the formed callus, with healing rates in non-union and delayed unions approaching 80%. **LIPUS** improves fracture healing by increasing chondrocytes, soft callus formation, and, consequently, earlier endochondral ossification.[49][50]
- **A bone graft involves using** bone to help provide a scaffold to the newly forming bone. This graft can be from the patient's body (autograft) or a deceased donor (allograft).[48][51]

Nursing, Allied Health, and Interprofessional Team Interventions

Fracture healing is regulated by the type and extent of the fracture, the stability of the fracture's fixation, and biological processes, which include various processes associated with skeletal ontology.[1] The interprofessional team needs to follow up with the patient regularly throughout the healing process to ensure that proper healing is taking place and that the stability of the fixation is intact. In some instances, physical therapy will be necessary, and the PT must communicate back the patient's progress, along with any possible concerns, to the team. As mentioned above, counsel to eat a nutritious diet and refrain from smoking and drinking alcohol are crucial factors in enhancing fracture healing. The interprofessional approach with open communication between all team members will help drive optimal fracture healing.

Review Questions

- [Access free multiple choice questions on this topic.](#)
- [Comment on this article.](#)

References

1. Einhorn TA, Gerstenfeld LC. Fracture healing: mechanisms and interventions. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2015 Jan;11(1):45-54. [PMC free article: PMC4464690] [PubMed: 25266456]
2. Cheal EJ, Hayes WC, White AA, Perren SM. Stress analysis of compression plate fixation and its effects on long

- bone remodeling. *J Biomech.* 1985;18(2):141-50. [PubMed: 3988783]
3. Lewallen DG, Chao EY, Kasman RA, Kelly PJ. Comparison of the effects of compression plates and external fixators on early bone-healing. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1984 Sep;66(7):1084-91. [PubMed: 6480637]
 4. Holmström T, Paavolainen P, Slätis P, Karaharju E. Effect of compression on fracture healing. Plate fixation studied in rabbits. *Acta Orthop Scand.* 1986 Aug;57(4):368-72. [PubMed: 3788504]
 5. Duan ZW, Lu H. Effect of Mechanical Strain on Cells Involved in Fracture Healing. *Orthop Surg.* 2021 Apr;13(2):369-375. [PMC free article: PMC7957396] [PubMed: 33496077]
 6. Chen JC, Jacobs CR. Mechanically induced osteogenic lineage commitment of stem cells. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2013;4(5):107. [PMC free article: PMC3854686] [PubMed: 24004875]
 7. Egol KA, Kubiak EN, Fulkerson E, Kummer FJ, Koval KJ. Biomechanics of locked plates and screws. *J Orthop Trauma.* 2004 Sep;18(8):488-93. [PubMed: 15475843]
 8. Perren SM. Physical and biological aspects of fracture healing with special reference to internal fixation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1979 Jan-Feb;(138):175-96. [PubMed: 376198]
 9. Aro HT, Chao EY. Biomechanics and biology of fracture repair under external fixation. *Hand Clin.* 1993 Nov;9(4):531-42. [PubMed: 8300724]
 10. Saunders MM, Lee JS. The influence of mechanical environment on bone healing and distraction osteogenesis. *Atlas Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am.* 2008 Sep;16(2):147-58. [PubMed: 18710689]
 11. Morgan EF, De Giacomo A, Gerstenfeld LC. Overview of skeletal repair (fracture healing and its assessment). *Methods Mol Biol.* 2014;1130:13-31. [PMC free article: PMC4466121] [PubMed: 24482162]
 12. Phillips AM. Overview of the fracture healing cascade. *Injury.* 2005 Nov;36 Suppl 3:S5-7. [PubMed: 16188551]
 13. Bahney CS, Zondervan RL, Allison P, Theologis A, Ashley JW, Ahn J, Miclau T, Marcucio RS, Hankenson KD. Cellular biology of fracture healing. *J Orthop Res.* 2019 Jan;37(1):35-50. [PMC free article: PMC6542569] [PubMed: 30370699]
 14. Ito H. Chemokines in mesenchymal stem cell therapy for bone repair: a novel concept of recruiting mesenchymal stem cells and the possible cell sources. *Mod Rheumatol.* 2011 Apr;21(2):113-21. [PubMed: 20830500]
 15. Marsell R, Einhorn TA. The biology of fracture healing. *Injury.* 2011 Jun;42(6):551-5. [PMC free article: PMC3105171] [PubMed: 21489527]
 16. Sternlicht MD, Werb Z. How matrix metalloproteinases regulate cell behavior. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol.* 2001;17:463-516. [PMC free article: PMC2792593] [PubMed: 11687497]
 17. Chang C, Werb Z. The many faces of metalloproteases: cell growth, invasion, angiogenesis and metastasis. *Trends Cell Biol.* 2001 Nov;11(11):S37-43. [PMC free article: PMC2788992] [PubMed: 11684441]
 18. Ries C, Egea V, Karow M, Kolb H, Jochum M, Neth P. MMP-2, MT1-MMP, and TIMP-2 are essential for the invasive capacity of human mesenchymal stem cells: differential regulation by inflammatory cytokines. *Blood.* 2007 May 01;109(9):4055-63. [PubMed: 17197427]
 19. Marsh DR, Li G. The biology of fracture healing: optimising outcome. *Br Med Bull.* 1999;55(4):856-69. [PubMed: 10746335]
 20. Ferrara N. Molecular and biological properties of vascular endothelial growth factor. *J Mol Med (Berl).* 1999 Jul;77(7):527-43. [PubMed: 10494799]
 21. Glowacki J. Angiogenesis in fracture repair. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1998 Oct;(355 Suppl):S82-9. [PubMed: 9917629]
 22. Hausman MR, Schaffler MB, Majeska RJ. Prevention of fracture healing in rats by an inhibitor of angiogenesis. *Bone.* 2001 Dec;29(6):560-4. [PubMed: 11728927]
 23. Dwek JR. The periosteum: what is it, where is it, and what mimics it in its absence? *Skeletal Radiol.* 2010 Apr;39(4):319-23. [PMC free article: PMC2826636] [PubMed: 20049593]
 24. Onishi T, Ishidou Y, Nagamine T, Yone K, Imamura T, Kato M, Sampath TK, ten Dijke P, Sakou T. Distinct and overlapping patterns of localization of bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) family members and a BMP type II

- receptor during fracture healing in rats. *Bone*. 1998 Jun;22(6):605-12. [PubMed: 9626398]
25. Robinson D, Hasharoni A, Halperin N, Yayon A, Nevo Z. Mesenchymal cells and growth factors in bunions. *Foot Ankle Int*. 1999 Nov;20(11):727-32. [PubMed: 10582849]
26. Go YY, Mun JY, Chae SW, Kim SH, Song H, Song JJ. Engineering functional BMP-2 expressing teratoma-derived fibroblasts for enhancing osteogenesis. *Sci Rep*. 2018 Oct 01;8(1):14581. [PMC free article: PMC6167319] [PubMed: 30275449]
27. Chen F, Bi D, Cheng C, Ma S, Liu Y, Cheng K. Bone morphogenetic protein 7 enhances the osteogenic differentiation of human dermal-derived CD105+ fibroblast cells through the Smad and MAPK pathways. *Int J Mol Med*. 2019 Jan;43(1):37-46. [PMC free article: PMC6257832] [PubMed: 30365093]
28. Claes LE, Heigele CA, Neidlinger-Wilke C, Kaspar D, Seidl W, Margevicius KJ, Augat P. Effects of mechanical factors on the fracture healing process. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1998 Oct;(355 Suppl):S132-47. [PubMed: 9917634]
29. Claes L, Augat P, Suger G, Wilke HJ. Influence of size and stability of the osteotomy gap on the success of fracture healing. *J Orthop Res*. 1997 Jul;15(4):577-84. [PubMed: 9379268]
30. Hadjidakis DJ, Androulakis II. Bone remodeling. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2006 Dec;1092:385-96. [PubMed: 17308163]
31. Rodan GA, Martin TJ. Role of osteoblasts in hormonal control of bone resorption - a hypothesis. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 1982 May;34(3):311. [PubMed: 6809295]
32. Anderson DM, Maraskovsky E, Billingsley WL, Dougall WC, Tometsko ME, Roux ER, Teepe MC, DuBose RF, Cosman D, Galibert L. A homologue of the TNF receptor and its ligand enhance T-cell growth and dendritic-cell function. *Nature*. 1997 Nov 13;390(6656):175-9. [PubMed: 9367155]
33. Yasuda H, Shima N, Nakagawa N, Yamaguchi K, Kinosaki M, Mochizuki S, Tomoyasu A, Yano K, Goto M, Murakami A, Tsuda E, Morinaga T, Higashio K, Udagawa N, Takahashi N, Suda T. Osteoclast differentiation factor is a ligand for osteoprotegerin/osteoclastogenesis-inhibitory factor and is identical to TRANCE/RANKL. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1998 Mar 31;95(7):3597-602. [PMC free article: PMC19881] [PubMed: 9520411]
34. Ghiasi MS, Chen J, Vaziri A, Rodriguez EK, Nazarian A. Bone fracture healing in mechanobiological modeling: A review of principles and methods. *Bone Rep*. 2017 Jun;6:87-100. [PMC free article: PMC5365304] [PubMed: 28377988]
35. Kostenuik P, Mirza FM. Fracture healing physiology and the quest for therapies for delayed healing and nonunion. *J Orthop Res*. 2017 Feb;35(2):213-223. [PMC free article: PMC6120140] [PubMed: 27743449]
36. Frost HM. The biology of fracture healing. An overview for clinicians. Part II. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1989 Nov; (248):294-309. [PubMed: 2680203]
37. Berendsen AD, Olsen BR. Bone development. *Bone*. 2015 Nov;80:14-18. [PMC free article: PMC4602167] [PubMed: 26453494]
38. ElHawary H, Baradaran A, Abi-Rafeh J, Vorstenbosch J, Xu L, Efanov JI. Bone Healing and Inflammation: Principles of Fracture and Repair. *Semin Plast Surg*. 2021 Aug;35(3):198-203. [PMC free article: PMC8432998] [PubMed: 34526868]
39. Clark D, Nakamura M, Miclau T, Marcucio R. Effects of Aging on Fracture Healing. *Curr Osteoporos Rep*. 2017 Dec;15(6):601-608. [PMC free article: PMC6517062] [PubMed: 29143915]
40. Gao F, Lv TR, Zhou JC, Qin XD. Effects of obesity on the healing of bone fracture in mice. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2018 Jun 08;13(1):145. [PMC free article: PMC5992669] [PubMed: 29880016]
41. Liu YZ, Akhter MP, Gao X, Wang XY, Wang XB, Zhao G, Wei X, Wu HJ, Chen H, Wang D, Cui L. Glucocorticoid-induced delayed fracture healing and impaired bone biomechanical properties in mice. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2018;13:1465-1474. [PMC free article: PMC6112798] [PubMed: 30197508]
42. Meesters DM, Wijnands KAP, Brink PRG, Poeze M. Malnutrition and Fracture Healing: Are Specific Deficiencies in Amino Acids Important in Nonunion Development? *Nutrients*. 2018 Oct 31;10(11) [PMC free

article: [PMC6266771](#)] [[PubMed: 30384490](#)]

43. Patel RA, Wilson RF, Patel PA, Palmer RM. The effect of smoking on bone healing: A systematic review. *Bone Joint Res.* 2013;2(6):102-11. [[PMC free article: PMC3686151](#)] [[PubMed: 23836474](#)]
44. Sloan A, Hussain I, Maqsood M, Eremin O, El-Sheemy M. The effects of smoking on fracture healing. *Surgeon.* 2010 Apr;8(2):111-6. [[PubMed: 20303894](#)]
45. Karpouzou A, Diamantis E, Farmaki P, Savvanis S, Troupis T. Nutritional Aspects of Bone Health and Fracture Healing. *J Osteoporos.* 2017;2017:4218472. [[PMC free article: PMC5804294](#)] [[PubMed: 29464131](#)]
46. Cruess RL, Dumont J. Fracture healing. *Can J Surg.* 1975 Sep;18(5):403-13. [[PubMed: 1175109](#)]
47. Bishop JA, Palanca AA, Bellino MJ, Lowenberg DW. Assessment of compromised fracture healing. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2012 May;20(5):273-82. [[PubMed: 22553099](#)]
48. Victoria G, Petrisor B, Drew B, Dick D. Bone stimulation for fracture healing: What's all the fuss? *Indian J Orthop.* 2009 Apr;43(2):117-20. [[PMC free article: PMC2762251](#)] [[PubMed: 19838359](#)]
49. Rutten S, van den Bekerom MPJ, Sierevelt IN, Nolte PA. Enhancement of Bone-Healing by Low-Intensity Pulsed Ultrasound: A Systematic Review. *JBJS Rev.* 2016 Mar 29;4(3) [[PubMed: 27500435](#)]
50. Palanisamy P, Alam M, Li S, Chow SKH, Zheng YP. Low-Intensity Pulsed Ultrasound Stimulation for Bone Fractures Healing: A Review. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2022 Mar;41(3):547-563. [[PMC free article: PMC9290611](#)] [[PubMed: 33949710](#)]
51. Marx RE. Bone and bone graft healing. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am.* 2007 Nov;19(4):455-66, v. [[PubMed: 18088897](#)]

Disclosure: Jonathon Sheen declares no relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies.

Disclosure: Ahmed Mabrouk declares no relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies.

Disclosure: Vishnu Garla declares no relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies.

Copyright © 2025, StatPearls Publishing LLC.

This book is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits others to distribute the work, provided that the article is not altered or used commercially. You are not required to obtain permission to distribute this article, provided that you credit the author and journal.

Bookshelf ID: NBK551678 PMID: 31869142